

A Hot Pot

Lin Jiu

RISC

Algorithmic Combinatorics Seminar

Oktober 5th 2016

Outlines

- 1 Partition
- 2 Harmonic S-sums
- 3 Bell Polynomial
- 4 Partial Fractional Decomposition (PFD)
- 5 Taylor Expansion

Beginning-Partition

Schneider Research in Number Theory (2016) 2:8
 DOI 10.1007/s40963-016-0036-1

Research in Number Theory
 A SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH

Open Access

Partition zeta functions

Robert Schneider

Correspondence:
 robert.schneider@univie.ac.at
 Department of Mathematics and
 Computer Science, University
 of Vienna, Althanstr. 11, 1040
 Vienna, Austria; Georgia 30332,
 USA

Abstract

We exploit transformations relating generalized q -series, infinite products, sums over integer partitions, and continued fractions, to find partition-theoretic formulas to compute the values of constants such as π , and to connect sums over partitions to the Riemann zeta function, multiple zeta values, and other number-theoretic objects.

Keywords: Partitions, q -series, Zeta functions

1 Introduction, notations and central theorem

One marvels at the degree to which our contemporary understanding of q -series, integer partitions, and what is now known as the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ emerged nearly fully-formed from Euler's pioneering work [1, 8]. Euler discovered the magical-seeming generating function for the partition function $p(n)$

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n, \quad (1)$$

in which the q -Pochhammer symbol is defined as $(z; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^n)$ for $|z| < 1$, and $(z; q)_{\infty} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (z; q)_N$ if the product converges, where we take $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ (the upper half-plane). He also discovered the beautiful product formula relating the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ to the set \mathcal{P} of primes

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - p^{-s})} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we see (1) and (2) as special cases of a single partition-theoretic formula. Euler used another product identity for the sine function

$$s \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2 n^2}\right) = \sin x \quad (3)$$

to solve the so-called Basel problem, finding the exact value of $\zeta(2)$; he went on to find an exact formula for $\zeta(2k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ [9]. Euler's approach to these problems, intertwining infinite products, infinite sums and special functions, permeates number theory.

Very much in the spirit of Euler, here we consider certain series of the form $\sum_{\lambda \in \Phi} q^{|\lambda|}$, where the sum is taken over the set \mathcal{P} of integer partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1$, as well as the "empty partition" \emptyset , and where $\phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We might sum

- R. Schneider, Partition zeta functions. *Research in Number Theory* 2016, 2:8.

- Let $\varphi_{\infty}(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n)$:

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_{\infty}(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

- Special cases:

1

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)q^n.$$

2

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-s})}.$$

Beginning-Partition

Schneider Research in Number Theory (2016) 2:8
DOI 10.1007/s40963-016-0036-1

Research in Number Theory
A SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH

Open Access

Partition zeta functions

Robert Schneider

Correspondence:
robert.schneider@nyu.edu
Department of Mathematics and
Computer Science, New York
University, 100 University Street,
Albany, NY 12246, USA

Abstract

We exploit transformations relating generalized q -series, infinite products, sums over integer partitions, and continued fractions, to find partition-theoretic formulas to compute the values of constants such as π , and to connect sums over partitions to the Riemann zeta function, multiple zeta values, and other number-theoretic objects.

Keywords: Partitions, q -series, Zeta functions

1 Introduction, notations and central theorem

One marvels at the degree to which our contemporary understanding of q -series, integer partitions, and what is now known as the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ emerged nearly fully-formed from Euler's pioneering work [1, 8]. Euler discovered the magical-seeming generating function for the partition function $p(n)$

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n, \quad (1)$$

in which the q -Pochhammer symbol is defined as $(z; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - zq^n)$ for $|z| < 1$, and $(z; q)_{\infty} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (z; q)_N$ if the product converges, where we take $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ (the upper half-plane). He also discovered the beautiful product formula relating the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ to the set \mathcal{P} of primes

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - p^{-s})} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(s), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we see (1) and (2) as special cases of a single partition-theoretic formula. Euler used another product identity for the sine function

$$s \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2 n^2}\right) = \sin x \quad (3)$$

to solve the so-called Basel problem, finding the exact value of $\zeta(2)$; he went on to find an exact formula for $\zeta(2k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ [9]. Euler's approach to these problems, intertwining infinite products, infinite sums and special functions, permeates number theory.

Very much in the spirit of Euler, here we consider certain series of the form $\sum_{\lambda \in \Phi} q^{|\lambda|}$, where the sum is taken over the set \mathcal{P} of integer partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1$, as well as the "empty partition" \emptyset , and where $\phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We might sum

- R. Schneider, Partition zeta functions. *Research in Number Theory* 2016, 2:8.

- Let $\varphi_{\infty}(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n)$:

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_{\infty}(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

- Special cases:

1

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)q^n.$$

2

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-s})}.$$

Beginning-Partition

Schneider Research in Number Theory (2016) 2:8
 DOI 10.1007/s40963-016-0036-1

Research in Number Theory
 A SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH

Open Access

Partition zeta functions

Robert Schneider



Correspondence:
 robert.schneider@nyu.edu
 Department of Mathematics and
 Computer Science, New York
 University, 100th Street 10023,
 USA

Abstract

We exploit transformations relating generalized q -series, infinite products, sums over integer partitions, and continued fractions, to find partition-theoretic formulas to compute the values of constants such as π , and to connect sums over partitions to the Riemann zeta function, multiple zeta values, and other number-theoretic objects.

Keywords: Partitions, q -series, Zeta functions

1 Introduction, notations and central theorem

One marvels at the degree to which our contemporary understanding of q -series, integer partitions, and what is now known as the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ emerged nearly fully-formed from Euler's pioneering work [1, 8]. Euler discovered the magical-seeming generating function for the partition function $p(n)$

$$\frac{1}{(q; \infty)_q} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) q^n, \quad (1)$$

in which the q -Pochhammer symbol is defined as $(z; q)_\infty := 1, (z; q)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - zq^i)$ for $n \geq 1$, and $(z; q)_0 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (z; q)_n$ if the product converges, where we take $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ (the upper half-plane). He also discovered the beautiful product formula relating the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ to the set \mathcal{P} of primes

$$\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-s})} = \zeta(s), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we see (1) and (2) as special cases of a single partition-theoretic formula. Euler used another product identity for the sine function

$$s \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2 n^2}\right) = \sin x \quad (3)$$

to solve the so-called Basel problem, finding the exact value of $\zeta(2)$; he went on to find an exact formula for $\zeta(2k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ [9]. Euler's approach to these problems, intertwining infinite products, infinite sums and special functions, permeates number theory.

Very much in the spirit of Euler, here we consider certain series of the form $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \phi(\lambda)$, where the sum is taken over the set \mathcal{P} of integer partitions $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k), \lambda_i \geq \lambda_j \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1$, as well as the "empty partition" \emptyset , and where $\phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We might sum

- R. Schneider, Partition zeta functions. *Research in Number Theory* 2016, 2:8.

- Let $\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n) q^n)$:

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

- Special cases:

1

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) q^n.$$

2

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)}.$$

Partition zeta functions

DEF: partition-theoretic zeta function

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vdash n$, we denote

$$l(\lambda) := k \text{ and } n_\lambda := \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k$$

Define the *partition-theoretic generalization of Riemann-zeta function* as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^s}.$$

Theorem

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2\}^k) = \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^2} = \frac{2^{2k-1} - 1}{2^{2k-2}} \zeta(2k).$$

- Similar results for $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2m\}^k) = \text{rational} \times \pi^{2k}$.
- The author wants to study general $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k)$ and its AC.

Partition zeta functions

DEF: partition-theoretic zeta function

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vdash n$, we denote

$$l(\lambda) := k \text{ and } n_\lambda := \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k$$

Define the *partition-theoretic generalization of Riemann-zeta function* as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^s}.$$

Theorem

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2\}^k) = \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^2} = \frac{2^{2k-1} - 1}{2^{2k-2}} \zeta(2k).$$

- Similar results for $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2m\}^k) = \text{rational} \times \pi^{2k}$.
- The author wants to study general $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k)$ and its AC.

Partition zeta functions

DEF: partition-theoretic zeta function

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vdash n$, we denote

$$l(\lambda) := k \text{ and } n_\lambda := \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k$$

Define the *partition-theoretic generalization of Riemann-zeta function* as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^s}.$$

Theorem

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2\}^k) = \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^2} = \frac{2^{2k-1} - 1}{2^{2k-2}} \zeta(2k).$$

- Similar results for $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2m\}^k) = \text{rational} \times \pi^{2k}$.
- The author wants to study general $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k)$ and its AC.

Partition zeta functions

DEF: partition-theoretic zeta function

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vdash n$, we denote

$$l(\lambda) := k \text{ and } n_\lambda := \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_k$$

Define the *partition-theoretic generalization of Riemann-zeta function* as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^s}.$$

Theorem

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2\}^k) = \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_\lambda^2} = \frac{2^{2k-1} - 1}{2^{2k-2}} \zeta(2k).$$

- Similar results for $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{2m\}^k) = \text{rational} \times \pi^{2k}$.
- The author wants to study general $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k)$ and its AC.

Partition zeta functions

Observe: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{P}$, (assume $s > 1$)

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_{\lambda}^s} = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^s \dots \lambda_k^s} = \underbrace{S_{s, \dots, s}}_k(\infty).$$

DEF: harmonic s-sum

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{i_1}}{i_1^{|a_1|}} \dots \frac{\text{sign}(a_k)^{i_k}}{i_k^{|a_k|}}.$$

Remark

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{a\}^k) = \underbrace{S_{a, \dots, a}}_k(\infty) = S_{a_k}(\infty).$$

Partition zeta functions

Observe: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{P}$, (assume $s > 1$)

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_{\lambda}^s} = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^s \dots \lambda_k^s} = \underbrace{S_{s, \dots, s}}_k(\infty).$$

DEF: harmonic s-sum

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{i_1}}{i_1^{|a_1|}} \dots \frac{\text{sign}(a_k)^{i_k}}{i_k^{|a_k|}}.$$

Remark

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{a\}^k) = \underbrace{S_{a, \dots, a}}_k(\infty) = S_{a_k}(\infty).$$

Partition zeta functions

Observe: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{P}$, (assume $s > 1$)

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^s \cdots \lambda_k^s} = \underbrace{S_{s, \dots, s}}_k(\infty).$$

DEF: harmonic s-sum

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{i_1}}{i_1^{|a_1|}} \cdots \frac{\text{sign}(a_k)^{i_k}}{i_k^{|a_k|}}.$$

Remark

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{a\}^k) = \underbrace{S_{a, \dots, a}}_k(\infty) = S_{a_k}(\infty).$$

Partition zeta functions

Observe: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{P}$, (assume $s > 1$)

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^s \cdots \lambda_k^s} = \underbrace{S_{s, \dots, s}}_k(\infty).$$

DEF: harmonic s-sum

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{i_1}}{i_1^{|a_1|}} \cdots \frac{\text{sign}(a_k)^{i_k}}{i_k^{|a_k|}}.$$

Remark

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{a\}^k) = \underbrace{S_{a, \dots, a}}_k(\infty) = S_{a_k}(\infty).$$

Partition zeta functions

Observe: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{P}$, (assume $s > 1$)

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{s\}^k) := \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{1}{n_{\lambda}^s} = \sum_{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_1^s \dots \lambda_k^s} = \underbrace{S_{s, \dots, s}}_k(\infty).$$

DEF: harmonic s-sum

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{i_1}}{i_1^{|a_1|}} \dots \frac{\text{sign}(a_k)^{i_k}}{i_k^{|a_k|}}.$$

Remark

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}(\{a\}^k) = \underbrace{S_{a, \dots, a}}_k(\infty) = S_{a_k}(\infty).$$

Partition-Harmonics

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Partition-Harmonics

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Partition-HarmonicS

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Partition-HarmonicS

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Partition-HarmonicS

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Partition-HarmonicS

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Partition-HarmonicS

$$\varphi_\infty(f; q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - f(n)q^n) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varphi_\infty(f; q)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

Let $f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a}$ and $q \rightarrow 1$

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l(\lambda)=k} \frac{t^{ak}}{n^\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(\infty) t^{ak}.$$

In particular, if $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, by considering $\xi_m := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m}\right)$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{n^m - t^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^m}{(n - \xi_m^0 t) \cdots (n - \xi_m^{m-1} t)} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t).$$

from the theorem: (Thank to Armin)

$$\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_m \Rightarrow \prod_{k > 0} \frac{(k + \alpha_1) \cdots (k + \alpha_m)}{(k + \beta_1) \cdots (k + \beta_m)} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_1) \cdots \Gamma(\beta_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_m)}.$$

Recurrence of S-sums?

(Thank to Jakob) Blumlein:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\text{perm}} s_{a_1, \dots, a_k} &= s_{a_1} \cdots s_{a_k} + C_{a_1 \wedge a_2, \dots, a_k} \sum_{\text{inv perm}} s_{a_1 \wedge a_2} s_{a_3} \cdots s_{a_k} \\
 &+ C_{a_1 \wedge a_2, a_3 \wedge a_4, a_5, \dots, a_k} \sum_{\text{inv perm}} s_{a_1 \wedge a_2} s_{a_3 \wedge a_4} s_{a_5} \cdots s_{a_k} \\
 &+ \cdots + C_{a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3, a_4, \dots, a_k} \sum_{\text{inv perm}} s_{a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3} s_{a_4} \cdots s_{a_k} \\
 &+ \cdots + C_{a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k} \sum_{\text{inv term}} s_{a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

- 1 "perm" denotes all permutations;
- 2 "inv perm" denotes all permutations in which a single index in a \wedge -contraction is only used once;
- 3 each l_i -fold \wedge -contraction is associated to the factor $l_i!$.

Recurrence of S-sums?

(Thank to Jakob) Blümlein:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\text{perm}} S_{a_1, \dots, a_k} &= S_{a_1} \cdots S_{a_k} + C_{a_1 \wedge a_2, \dots, a_k} \sum_{\text{inv perm}} S_{a_1 \wedge a_2} S_{a_3} \cdots S_{a_k} \\
 &+ C_{a_1 \wedge a_2, a_3 \wedge a_4, a_5, \dots, a_k} \sum_{\text{inv perm}} S_{a_1 \wedge a_2} S_{a_3 \wedge a_4} S_{a_5} \cdots S_{a_k} \\
 &+ \cdots + C_{a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3, a_4, \dots, a_k} \sum_{\text{inv perm}} S_{a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3} S_{a_4} \cdots S_{a_k} \\
 &+ \cdots + C_{a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k} \sum_{\text{inv term}} S_{a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

- 1 “perm” denotes all permutations;
- 2 “inv perm” denotes all permutations in which a single index in a \wedge -contraction is only used once;
- 3 each l_i -fold \wedge -contraction is associated to the factor $l_i!$.

Recurrence of S-sums?

Thus, when $a_1 = \dots = a_k = a > 0$, we note that

- 1 each \wedge -contraction, e.g., $a \wedge \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{k-2}$, is uniquely determined by one

partition of n , e.g., $\pi = \left(2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-2} \right) \vdash k$;

- 2 “perm” gives $k!$;

- 3 “inv perm” gives, for $\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash n$,

$\binom{k}{l_1, l_1, \dots, l_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{l_j!}$, where the multinomial comes from all possible permutations while the product is due to uniqueness of single index contraction;

- 4 each l_j -fold \wedge -contraction is associated to the factor $(l_j - 1)! = \Gamma(l_j)$.

Recurrence of S-sums?

Thus, when $a_1 = \dots = a_k = a > 0$, we note that

- 1 each \wedge -contraction, e.g., $a \wedge \underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{k-2}$, is uniquely determined by one

partition of n , e.g., $\pi = \left(2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-2} \right) \vdash k$;

- 2 “perm” gives $k!$;

- 3 “inv perm” gives, for $\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash n$,

$\binom{k}{l_1, l_1, \dots, l_r} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{l_j!}$, where the multinomial comes from all possible permutations while the product is due to uniqueness of single index contraction;

- 4 each l_j -fold \wedge -contraction is associated to the factor $(l_j - 1)! = \Gamma(l_j)$.

Recurrence of S-sums?

Therefore, it is not hard to obtain that

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash k} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{l_j!} \left(\frac{S_{\lambda_j a}(N)}{\lambda_j} \right)^{l_j}.$$

Γ? By accident and on Wikipedia (containing typos)

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = (-1)^k k! \sum_{\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash k} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\zeta^*(\lambda_j)^{l_j}}{l_j! \lambda_j^{l_j}}, \quad \zeta^*(a) = \begin{cases} \zeta(a), & \text{if } a \neq 1: \\ \gamma, & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

Recurrence of S-sums?

Therefore, it is not hard to obtain that

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash k} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{l_j!} \left(\frac{S_{\lambda_j a}(N)}{\lambda_j} \right)^{l_j}.$$

Γ? By accident and on Wikipedia (containing typos)

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = (-1)^k k! \sum_{\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash k} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\zeta^*(\lambda_j)^{l_j}}{l_j! \lambda_j^{l_j}}, \quad \zeta^*(a) = \begin{cases} \zeta(a), & \text{if } a \neq 1: \\ \gamma, & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

Recurrence of S-sums?

Therefore, it is not hard to obtain that

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash k} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{l_j!} \left(\frac{S_{\lambda_j a}(N)}{\lambda_j} \right)^{l_j}.$$

Γ? By accident and on Wikipedia (containing typos)

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = (-1)^k k! \sum_{\pi = \left(\underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_1}_{l_1}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_r}_{l_r} \right) \vdash k} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\zeta^*(\lambda_j)^{l_j}}{l_j! \lambda_j^{l_j}}, \quad \zeta^*(a) = \begin{cases} \zeta(a), & \text{if } a \neq 1; \\ \gamma, & \text{if } a = 1. \end{cases}$$

Recurrence of S-sums?

$$\operatorname{Res}(1, -n) = \frac{1}{n!}.$$

The poles and residues can be obtained from the formula

$$\Gamma(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{z+n} + \int_1^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

(This can be seen by expanding $\exp(-t)$.)

Moreover, the gamma function has the following [Laurent expansion](#) at 1

$$\Gamma(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}(1)}{n!} (z-1)^n,$$

valid for $|z-1| < 1$.

Using the identity

$$\Gamma^{(n)}(1) = (-1)^n n! \sum_{\pi \vdash n} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\zeta^*(a_i)}{k_i! \cdot a_i} \quad \zeta^*(x) := \begin{cases} \zeta(x) & x \neq 1 \\ \gamma & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

with partitions

$$\pi = (\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1}_{k_1}, \dots, \underbrace{a_r, \dots, a_r}_{k_r}).$$

we have in particular

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) z - \frac{1}{6} \left(\gamma^3 + \frac{\gamma \pi^2}{2} + 2\zeta(3) \right) z^2 + O(z^3).$$

Fourier series expansion [\[edit\]](#)

The logarithm of the gamma function has the following [Fourier series](#) expansion

$$\ln \Gamma(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - x \right) (\gamma + \ln 2) + (1-x) \ln \pi - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sin \pi x + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi n x \cdot \ln n}{n}, \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

which was for a long time attributed to [Ernst Kummer](#), who derived it in 1847. [\[3\]\[4\]](#) However, it was comparatively recently that it was

Bell Polynomial

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = Y_k(-\gamma, \zeta(2), \dots, (-1)^k (k-1)! \zeta(k))$$

where, the *complete Bell polynomial* Y_k is defined by

$$Y_k(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \sum_{(l) \vdash k} \frac{k!}{l_1! \dots l_k!} \left(\frac{g_1}{1!}\right)^{l_1} \dots \left(\frac{g_k}{k!}\right)^{l_k},$$

where $(l) = \left(\underbrace{k, \dots, k}_{l_k}, \underbrace{k-1, \dots, k-1}_{l_{k-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l_1} \right) \vdash k$, by noticing that l_j

could be zero and $\sum_{j=1}^k j l_j = k$. In fact, l_j denotes the number of j appearing in the partition $(l) \vdash k$.

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(0! S_a(N), 1! S_{2a}(N), \dots, (k-1)! S_{ka}(N))$$

Bell Polynomial

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = Y_k(-\gamma, \zeta(2), \dots, (-1)^k (k-1)! \zeta(k))$$

where, the *complete Bell polynomial* Y_k is defined by

$$Y_k(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \sum_{(l) \vdash k} \frac{k!}{l_1! \cdots l_k!} \left(\frac{g_1}{1!}\right)^{l_1} \cdots \left(\frac{g_k}{k!}\right)^{l_k},$$

where $(l) = \left(\underbrace{k, \dots, k}_{l_k}, \underbrace{k-1, \dots, k-1}_{l_{k-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l_1} \right) \vdash k$, by noticing that l_j

could be zero and $\sum_{j=1}^k j l_j = k$. In fact, l_j denotes the number of j appearing in the partition $(l) \vdash k$.

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(0! S_a(N), 1! S_{2a}(N), \dots, (k-1)! S_{ka}(N))$$

Bell Polynomial

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(1) = Y_k(-\gamma, \zeta(2), \dots, (-1)^k (k-1)! \zeta(k))$$

where, the *complete Bell polynomial* Y_k is defined by

$$Y_k(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \sum_{(l) \vdash k} \frac{k!}{l_1! \cdots l_k!} \left(\frac{g_1}{1!}\right)^{l_1} \cdots \left(\frac{g_k}{k!}\right)^{l_k},$$

where $(l) = \left(\underbrace{k, \dots, k}_{l_k}, \underbrace{k-1, \dots, k-1}_{l_{k-1}}, \dots, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l_1} \right) \vdash k$, by noticing that l_i

could be zero and $\sum_{j=1}^k j l_j = k$. In fact, l_j denotes the number of j appearing in the partition $(l) \vdash k$.

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(0! S_a(N), 1! S_{2a}(N), \dots, (k-1)! S_{ka}(N))$$

Bell Polynomial

Ablinger:

$$S_{1_k}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(\dots, (j-1)! S_j(n), \dots).$$

Dilcher:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{j_1 \cdots j_k}$$

Kirschenhofer:

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq K}}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-K)^m} = \binom{N}{K} (-1)^{K+1} \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(\dots, (i-1)! (H_{N-K}^{(i)} + (-1)^i H_K^{(i)}), \dots),$$

$$H_r^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{j^i}.$$

Bell Polynomial

Ablinger:

$$S_{1_k}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(\dots, (j-1)! S_j(n), \dots).$$

Dilcher:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{j_1 \cdots j_k}$$

Kirschenhofer:

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq K}}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-K)^m} = \binom{N}{K} (-1)^{K+1} \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(\dots, (i-1)! (H_{N-K}^{(i)} + (-1)^i H_K^{(i)}), \dots),$$

$$H_r^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{j^i}.$$

Bell Polynomial

Ablinger:

$$S_{1_k}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(\dots, (j-1)! S_j(n), \dots).$$

Dilcher:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{j_1 \cdots j_k}$$

Kirschenhofer:

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq K}}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-K)^m} = \binom{N}{K} (-1)^{K+1} \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(\dots, (i-1)! (H_{N-K}^{(i)} + (-1)^i H_K^{(i)}), \dots),$$

$$H_r^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{j^i}.$$

Bell Polynomial

Ablinger:

$$S_{1_k}(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(\dots, (j-1)! S_j(n), \dots).$$

Dilcher:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{j_1 \cdots j_k}$$

Kirschenhofer:

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq K}}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-K)^m} = \binom{N}{K} (-1)^{K+1} \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(\dots, (i-1)! (H_{N-K}^{(i)} + (-1)^i H_K^{(i)}), \dots),$$

$$H_r^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{j^i}.$$

Bell Polynomial

Aim 1

Use

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(0!S_a(N), 1!S_{2a}(N), \dots, (k-1)!S_{ka}(N))$$

to generalize

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{j_1 \cdots j_k}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq K}}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-K)^m} = \binom{N}{K} (-1)^{K+1} \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(\dots, (i-1)! (H_{N-K}^{(i)} + (-1)^i H_K^{(i)}), \dots).$$

Bell Polynomial

Aim 1

Use

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k(0!S_a(N), 1!S_{2a}(N), \dots, (k-1)!S_{ka}(N))$$

to generalize

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{j^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{j_1 \cdots j_k}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq K}}^N \binom{N}{k} (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-K)^m} = \binom{N}{K} (-1)^{K+1} \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(\dots, (i-1)! (H_{N-K}^{(i)} + (-1)^i H_K^{(i)}), \dots).$$

Partial Fractional Decomposition (PFD)

Thank to Jacob:

Zeng:

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - a_l z} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_l z} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right),$$

and compares coefficients of z^k of both sides to obtain

$$\sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right) a_l^k.$$

Now, letting $a_l \mapsto \frac{1}{a_l}$, and $a_n := n^a$

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i_1 \cdots i_k)^a} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}}.$$

Partial Fractional Decomposition (PFD)

Thank to Jacob:
 Zeng:

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - a_l z} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_l z} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right),$$

and compares coefficients of z^k of both sides to obtain

$$\sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right) a_l^k.$$

Now, letting $a_l \mapsto \frac{1}{a_l}$, and $a_n := n^a$

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i_1 \cdots i_k)^a} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}}.$$

Partial Fractional Decomposition (PFD)

Thank to Jacob:

Zeng:

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - a_l z} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_l z} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right),$$

and compares coefficients of z^k of both sides to obtain

$$\sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right) a_l^k.$$

Now, letting $a_l \mapsto \frac{1}{a_l}$, and $a_n := n^a$

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i_1 \cdots i_k)^a} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}}.$$

Partial Fractional Decomposition (PFD)

Thank to Jacob:

Zeng:

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - a_l z} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_l z} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right),$$

and compares coefficients of z^k of both sides to obtain

$$\sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_j}{a_l}} \right) a_l^k.$$

Now, letting $a_l \mapsto \frac{1}{a_l}$, and $a_n := n^a$

$$S_{a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i_1 \cdots i_k)^a} = \sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}}.$$

Special case $a = 1$ (Dilcher's case)

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N}{l}.$$

Proof is straightforward.

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} \right) \cdot \frac{l}{l}.$$

Question:

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a}$$

Special case $a = 1$ (Dilcher's case)

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N}{l}.$$

Proof is straightforward.

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} \right) \cdot \frac{l}{l}.$$

Question:

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a}$$

Special case $a = 1$ (Dilcher's case)

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N}{l}.$$

Proof is straightforward.

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} \right) \cdot \frac{l}{l}.$$

Question:

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a}$$

Special case $a = 1$ (Dilcher's case)

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N}{l}.$$

Proof is straightforward.

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} = \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j}{j-l} \right) \cdot \frac{l}{l}.$$

Question:

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a}$$

Special case $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$

Use

$$j^m - l^m = (j - l)(j - \xi_m l) \cdots (j - \xi_m^{m-1} l)$$

to get

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{N!}{(1 - \xi_m^i l) \cdots ((l-1) - \xi_m^i l) \xi_m^i l ((l+1) - \xi_m^i l) \cdots (N - \xi_m^i l)}.$$

- 1 Case 1: $\xi_m^i = 1$, it is the same as Dilcher's.
- 2 Case 2: $\xi_m^i \neq 1$,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{\Gamma(N - \xi_m^i l + 1) \Gamma(1 + \xi_m^i l)}}_{\binom{N}{\xi_m^i l}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\pi(1 - \xi_m^i l)}{\sin(\pi \xi_m^i l)}}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \xi_m^i \rightarrow 1}$$

Special case $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$

Use

$$j^m - l^m = (j - l)(j - \xi_m l) \cdots (j - \xi_m^{m-1} l)$$

to get

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{N!}{(1 - \xi_m^i l) \cdots ((l-1) - \xi_m^i l) \xi_m^i l ((l+1) - \xi_m^i l) \cdots (N - \xi_m^i l)}$$

- 1 Case 1: $\xi_m^i = 1$, it is the same as Dilcher's.
- 2 Case 2: $\xi_m^i \neq 1$,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{\Gamma(N - \xi_m^i l + 1) \Gamma(1 + \xi_m^i l)}}_{\binom{N}{\xi_m^i l}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\pi(1 - \xi_m^i l)}{\sin(\pi \xi_m^i l)}}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \xi_m^i \rightarrow 1}$$

Special case $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$

Use

$$j^m - l^m = (j - l)(j - \xi_m l) \cdots (j - \xi_m^{m-1} l)$$

to get

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{N!}{(1 - \xi_m^i l) \cdots ((l-1) - \xi_m^i l) \xi_m^i l ((l+1) - \xi_m^i l) \cdots (N - \xi_m^i l)}.$$

- 1 Case 1: $\xi_m^i = 1$, it is the same as Dilcher's.
- 2 Case 2: $\xi_m^i \neq 1$,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{\Gamma(N - \xi_m^i l + 1) \Gamma(1 + \xi_m^i l)}}_{\binom{N}{\xi_m^i l}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\pi(1 - \xi_m^i l)}{\sin(\pi \xi_m^i l)}}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \xi_m^i \rightarrow 1}$$

Special case $a = m \in \mathbb{N}$

Use

$$j^m - l^m = (j - l)(j - \xi_m l) \cdots (j - \xi_m^{m-1} l)$$

to get

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{N!}{(1 - \xi_m^i l) \cdots ((l-1) - \xi_m^i l) \xi_m^i l ((l+1) - \xi_m^i l) \cdots (N - \xi_m^i l)}.$$

- 1 Case 1: $\xi_m^i = 1$, it is the same as Dilcher's.
- 2 Case 2: $\xi_m^i \neq 1$,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^m}{j^m - l^m} = (-1)^{m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{\Gamma(N - \xi_m^i l + 1) \Gamma(1 + \xi_m^i l)}}_{\binom{N}{\xi_m^i l}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\pi(1 - \xi_m^i l)}{\sin(\pi \xi_m^i l)}}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \xi_m^i \rightarrow 1}$$

Theorem[Generalization of Dilcher]

If we define

$$\binom{N}{l}_{(m,i)} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{N}{l}, & \text{if } \xi_m^i = 1; \\ \frac{N!}{(1-\xi_m^i)_N} \cdot \frac{1-\xi_m^i}{\xi_m^i}, & \text{if } \xi_m^i \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, for $m, k, N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$S_{m_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i_1 \cdots i_k)^m} = \sum_{l=1}^N \binom{N}{l}_{(m,i)} \frac{1}{l^{mk}}.$$

Kirschenhofer uses

$$\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{j-K}} - 1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{(j-K)^i} \right), \dots \right).$$

Apparently, it remains hold if letting $K = 0$, $t \mapsto t^a$, and $j \mapsto n^a$, namely

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{am}}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{ai}}, \dots \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{ak}}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Comparing coefficients of t and use PFD leads to:

Theorem[Generalization of Kirschenhofer]

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Kirschenhofer uses

$$\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{j-K}} - 1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{(j-K)^i} \right), \dots \right).$$

Apparently, it remains hold if letting $K = 0$, $t \mapsto t^a$, and $j \mapsto n^a$, namely

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{am}}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{ai}}, \dots \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{ak}}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Comparing coefficients of t and use PFD leads to:

Theorem[Generalization of Kirschenhofer]

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Kirschenhofer uses

$$\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{j-K}} - 1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{(j-K)^i} \right), \dots \right).$$

Apparently, it remains hold if letting $K = 0$, $t \mapsto t^a$, and $j \mapsto n^a$, namely

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{am}}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{ai}}, \dots \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{ak}}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Comparing coefficients of t and use PFD leads to:

Theorem[Generalization of Kirschenhofer]

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{j^{ak}} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Kirschenhofer uses

$$\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t}{j-K}} - 1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq K}}^N \frac{1}{(j-K)^i} \right), \dots \right).$$

Apparently, it remains hold if letting $K = 0$, $t \mapsto t^a$, and $j \mapsto n^a$, namely

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{am}}{m!} Y_m \left(\dots, (i-1)! \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{ai}}, \dots \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{ak}}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Comparing coefficients of t and use PFD leads to:

Theorem[Generalization of Kirschenhofer]

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^N \frac{j^a}{j^a - l^a} \right) \frac{1}{l^{ak}} = \frac{1}{k!} Y_k (\dots, (i-1)! S_{ai}(N), \dots).$$

Beta function

Blümlein, Klein, Schneider and Stan:

$$B(N, 1-t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N).$$

Note from Blümlein

$$B(N, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda,$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{n} S_{1_k}(N).$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N) = B(N, 1-t) = \frac{\Gamma(N)}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)}.$$

Beta function

Blümlein, Klein, Schneider and Stan:

$$B(N, 1-t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N).$$

Note from Blümlein

$$B(N, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda,$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{n} S_{1_k}(N).$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N) = B(N, 1-t) = \frac{\Gamma(N)}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)}.$$

Beta function

Blümlein, Klein, Schneider and Stan:

$$B(N, 1-t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N).$$

Note from Blümlein

$$B(N, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda,$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{n} S_{1_k}(N).$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N) = B(N, 1-t) = \frac{\Gamma(N)}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)}.$$

Beta function

Blümleim, Klein, Schneider and Stan:

$$B(N, 1-t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N).$$

Note from Blümleim

$$B(N, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda,$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \log^k \lambda (1-\lambda)^{N-1} d\lambda = \frac{(-1)^k k!}{n} S_{1_k}(N).$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N) = B(N, 1-t) = \frac{\Gamma(N)}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)}.$$

Beta Function

Schneider:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

$$\prod_{n \in X \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_X} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i), \text{ if } f(n) = 0 \text{ when } n \notin X.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X = [N] = \{1, \dots, N\} \\ f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \frac{(N!)^a}{(1^a - t^a) \cdots (N^a - t^a)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(N) t^{ak}.$$

Compare:

$$\frac{N!}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)} = NB(N, 1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N)$$

Beta Function

Schneider:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

$$\prod_{n \in X \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_X} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i), \text{ if } f(n) = 0 \text{ when } n \notin X.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X = [N] = \{1, \dots, N\} \\ f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \frac{(N!)^a}{(1^a - t^a) \cdots (N^a - t^a)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(N) t^{ak}.$$

Compare:

$$\frac{N!}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)} = NB(N, 1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N)$$

Beta Function

Schneider:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

$$\prod_{n \in X \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_X} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i), \text{ if } f(n) = 0 \text{ when } n \notin X.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X = [N] = \{1, \dots, N\} \\ f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \frac{(N!)^a}{(1^a - t^a) \cdots (N^a - t^a)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(N) t^{ak}.$$

Compare:

$$\frac{N!}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)} = NB(N, 1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N)$$

Beta Function

Schneider:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i).$$

$$\prod_{n \in X \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(1 - f(n) q^n)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_X} q^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\lambda_i \vdash \lambda} f(\lambda_i), \text{ if } f(n) = 0 \text{ when } n \notin X.$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X = [N] = \{1, \dots, N\} \\ f(n) = \frac{t^a}{n^a} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^a}{n^a}} = \frac{(N!)^a}{(1^a - t^a) \cdots (N^a - t^a)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a_k}(N) t^{ak}.$$

Compare:

$$\frac{N!}{(1-t) \cdots (N-t)} = NB(N, 1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k S_{1_k}(N)$$

Integral Representation

Consider the case $a = 2$

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{2k}(\infty) t^{2k}.$$

By integral representation

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^t dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$S_{2k}(\infty) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_0^1 \log^{2k} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

In particular

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} = S_2(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log^2 \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda$$

Integral Representation

Consider the case $a = 2$

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{2k}(\infty) t^{2k}.$$

By integral representation

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^t dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$S_{2k}(\infty) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_0^1 \log^{2k} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

In particular

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} = S_2(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log^2 \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda$$

Integral Representation

Consider the case $a = 2$

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{2k}(\infty) t^{2k}.$$

By integral representation

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^t dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$S_{2k}(\infty) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_0^1 \log^{2k} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

In particular

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} = S_2(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log^2 \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda$$

Integral Representation

Consider the case $a = 2$

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(1-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{2k}(\infty) t^{2k}.$$

By integral representation

$$B(1+t, 1-t) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-t} (1-\lambda)^t dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \int_0^1 \log^k \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$S_{2k}(\infty) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \int_0^1 \log^{2k} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda.$$

In particular

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} = S_2(\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log^2 \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} \right) d\lambda$$

Integral Representation

Consider the *multiple beta function*, defined by

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n)},$$

which admits an integral representation, due to the *Dirichlet distribution*

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \int_{\Omega_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i-1} dx, \quad \Omega_n := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} < 1 \text{ and } x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \right\}.$$

Namely,

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \int_0^1 x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots \int_0^{1-x_1-\cdots-x_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} (1-x_1-\cdots-x_{n-1})^{\alpha_n-1} dx_{n-1} \cdots dx_1.$$

Integral Representation

Consider the *multiple beta function*, defined by

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n)},$$

which admits an integral representation, due to the *Dirichlet distribution*

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \int_{\Omega_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i-1} dx, \quad \Omega_n := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} < 1 \text{ and } x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \right\}.$$

Namely,

$$B(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \int_0^1 x_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots \int_0^{1-x_1-\cdots-x_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} (1-x_1-\cdots-x_{n-1})^{\alpha_n-1} dx_{n-1} \cdots dx_1.$$

Integral Representation

Use

$$B(1 - \xi_m^0 t, 1 - \xi_m^1 t, \dots, 1 - \xi_m^{m-1} t) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t)}{(m-1)!} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk}$$

to obtain

$$S_{m_k}(\infty) = \frac{(-1)^{mk}}{(m-1)!(mk)!} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-2}} \log^{mk} \left(x_1^{\xi_m^0} x_2^{\xi_m^1} \dots x_{m-1}^{\xi_m^{m-2}} (1-x_1-\dots-x_{m-1})^{\xi_m^{m-1}} \right)$$

and in particular

$$\zeta(m) = \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!m!} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{m-2}} \log^m \left(x_1^{\xi_m^0} \dots x_{m-1}^{\xi_m^{m-2}} (1-x_1-\dots-x_{m-1})^{\xi_m^{m-1}} \right) dx_{m-1} \dots$$

Integral Representation

Use

$$B(1 - \xi_m^0 t, 1 - \xi_m^1 t, \dots, 1 - \xi_m^{m-1} t) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1 - \xi_m^j t)}{(m-1)!} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{m_k}(\infty) t^{mk}$$

to obtain

$$S_{m_k}(\infty) = \frac{(-1)^{mk}}{(m-1)! (mk)!} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-2}} \log^{mk} \left(x_1^{\xi_m^0} x_2^{\xi_m^1} \dots x_{m-1}^{\xi_m^{m-2}} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{m-1})^{\xi_m^{m-1}} \right)$$

and in particular

$$\zeta(m) = \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)! m!} \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^{1-x_1-\dots-x_{m-2}} \log^m \left(x_1^{\xi_m^0} \dots x_{m-1}^{\xi_m^{m-2}} (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{m-1})^{\xi_m^{m-1}} \right) dx_{m-1} \dots$$

Extra: Quasi-Shuffle

$$aw_1 * bw_2 = a(w_1 * bw_2) + b(aw_1 * w_2) + [a, b](w_1 * w_2)$$

$$\sum_{\text{per}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} a_1 \cdots a_m = \sum_{\pi \vdash m} (-1)^{C_\pi} C_\pi \sum_{\text{inv per}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} \pi(a_1 \cdots a_m),$$

where

$$C_\pi = \prod_{j=1}^m (\Gamma(j))^{\pi_j} \text{ for } \pi = \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\pi_1}, \dots, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{\pi_m} \right) \vdash m$$

Extra: Quasi-Shuffle

$$aw_1 * bw_2 = a(w_1 * bw_2) + b(aw_1 * w_2) + [a, b](w_1 * w_2)$$

$$\sum_{\text{per}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} a_1 \cdots a_m = \sum_{\pi \vdash m} (-1)^{C_\pi} C_\pi \sum_{\text{inv per}\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}} \pi(a_1 \cdots a_m),$$

where

$$C_\pi = \prod_{j=1}^m (\Gamma(j))^{\pi_j} \text{ for } \pi = \left(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\pi_1}, \dots, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{\pi_m} \right) \vdash m$$

What to do?

I need your suggestions!

Thank You!

What to do?

I need your suggestions!

Thank You!

Reference



J. Ablinger, *Computer Algebra Algorithms for Special Functions in Particle Physics*, PhD Thesis, RISC, Johannes Kepler University, 2012.



J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, and C. Schneider. Calculating three loop ladder and V-topologies for massive operator matrix elements by computer algebra, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **202** (2016), 33–112.



J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, M. Round, and C. Schneider, Advanced computer algebra algorithms for the expansion of Feynman integrals, in *Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory*, J. Blümlein, S. Moch, T. Riemann (ed.), PoS(LL2012) Vol. 50, pp. 1–14.



G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.



J. Blümlein, Algebraic relations between harmonic sums and associated quantities.



Comput. Phys. Commun. **1** (2004), 19–51.



J. Blümlein and S. Klein, Harmonic sums and Mellin transforms up to two loop order, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999), Article 014018.



J. Blümlein, S. Klein, S. Schneider, and F. Stan, A symbolic summation approach to Feynman integral calculus, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **47** (2012) 1267–1289.



L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel, 1974.



K. Dilcher. Some q-series identities related to divisor functions. *Discr. Math.* **145** (1995), 83–93.



P. Kirschenhofer, A note on alternating sums, *Electron. J. Combin* **3** (1996), #R7.



S. Kotz, N. Balakrishnan, and N. L. Johnson, *Continuous Multivariate Distributions Vol 1*, Wiley, 2000.

R. Schneider, Partition zeta functions. *Research in Number Theory* 2016, 2:8.

E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, 1927.

J. Zeng, On some q-identities related to divisor functions, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **34** (2005) 313–315.